UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,047	02/08/2006	Mark Geach	613-96	3193
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 07/03/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	PESELEV, ELLI		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/537,047	GEACH, MARK		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Elli Peselev	1623		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTH OF THE M	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 and 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 16,20-23 and 31 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16, 20-23 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir 11).	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate		

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16, 20-23 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rorstad et al (European Patent No. 0 466 037) in view of Lehmann et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,143,883).

Rorstad et al disclose administration of glucans to aquatic animals via aqueous exposure alone or in combination with vitamins or antimicrobial agents (page 7, lines 37-54) and further disclose that such an administration provides immunostimulating effect (page 3, lines 45-58) but do not disclose a method for the treatment of wound or inflammation. However, since Lehmann et al disclose that glucans are effective in enhancing immune system and therefore are expected to be effective in accelerating wound healing (column 5, lines 29-35), a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made would have been motivated to administer glucans disclosed by Rorstad et al to aquatic animals for the purpose of wound healing, which also includes inflammation caused by said wound.

Applicant's arguments filed May 16, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 3

Applicant contends that Rorstad does not disclose topical application and does not provide definition of the terminology "aqueous exposure". Applicant further contends that said terminology, while covering immersion, it would also cover drinking an aqueous composition of glucan or spraying the fish with aqueous glucan mixture. This argument has not been found persuasive, since based on the disclosure of aqueous exposure by Rorstad at al, a person having ordinary skill in the art, as admitted by applicant, would envision water immersion treatment. Applicant also contends that M-glucan as described by Rorstad et al is not water soluble. This argument has not been found persuasive. The disclosure by a reference is not limited to its preferred embodiments. Rorstad et al on page 5, lines 26-37 disclose the use of a variety of different glucans. Further, as admitted by applicant, the terminology "aqueous exposure" includes aqueous administration of glucan. Applicant also contends that Lehmann et al disclose the treatment of mammals. Said argument has not been found persuasive since Lehmann et al also disclose the treatment of fish (column 4, line 62).

Therefore, the claimed process is still deemed prima facie obvious over the cited prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 4

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,047 Page 5

Art Unit: 1623

Elli Peselev

/Elli Peselev/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623